

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/686,998	10/16/2003		Patrick J. Sweeney	029815-0103	7428	
26371	7590	10/11/2006	EXAMINER		INER	
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP 777 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE				BARRETT, THOMAS C		
777 EAST V MILWAUK				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	,		3738		
				DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006	DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/686,998	SWEENEY, PATRICK J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas C. Barrett	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ju</u>	ly 2006.					
,	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5-15,17,18,21-25,27-30,32-34,36-41,43,61-66 and 68-70</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 10,12,18,22,28,37,43,66 and 70 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5-9,11,13-15,17,21,23-25,27,29,30,32-34,36-41,62-65,68 and 69</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7-06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to amended claims 1, 3, 5-6, 32-34 and 36-41 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The remaining claims remain rejected as in the prior office action.

The screws and screw retainers of Rabbe et al and Strnad et al are capable of securing a pedicle to the prosthesis. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is *capable* of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 11, 13-15, 17, 21, 23-25, 27, and 29-30 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rabbe et al. (5,702,453) as cited in Applicant's IDS. Rabbe et al. discloses a spinal implant system, comprising: a vertebral prosthesis having a support and an endplate, wherein the support is adjustable to change the height of the vertebral prosthesis (Fig. 3); pedicle screw retainer (e.g. 46) and a pedicle screw (e.g. 5).

Application/Control Number: 10/686,998

Art Unit: 3738

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 5-9, 11, 13-15, 17, 21, 23-25, 27, 29-30, 32-34, 36, 38-41, and 61-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rabbe et al. (5,702,453) in view of Downey (5,147,404) as cited in Applicant's IDS. Rabbe et al. discloses a spinal implant system as above. However, Rabbe et al. does not disclose the implant coupled to an artificial disc. Downey teaches the use of an artificial disc coupled to a vertebral prosthesis (e.g. col. 3, lines 55-65). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of an artificial disc of Downey, to the vertebral prosthesis of Rabbe, so that "relatively little, if any flexibility is lost" as found in Downey (col. 2, lines 48-57).

Claims 61-65 and 68-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strnad et al. (6,296,665) in view of Downey (5,147,404) as cited in Applicant's IDS. Strnad et al. discloses a spinal implant system (Figs. 8a-9), comprising: a vertebral prosthesis having a support and an endplate, wherein the support is adjustable by sliding and uses a locking ring (110), a pedicle screw and retainer (202). However, Strnad et al. does not disclose the implant coupled to an artificial disc. Downey teaches the use of an artificial disc coupled to a vertebral prosthesis (e.g. col. 3, lines 55-65). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the

time the invention was made to combine the teaching of an artificial disc of Downey, to the vertebral prosthesis of Strnad et al., so that "relatively little, if any flexibility is lost" as found in Downey (col. 2, lines 48-57).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas C. Barrett whose telephone number is (571) 272-4746. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. -Fri. from 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/686,998 Page 5

Art Unit: 3738

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thomas C. Barrett Examiner

Art Unit 3738

TOM BARRETT
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700